Final Office Action Dated: October 24, 2007

Amendments & Response Dated: January 21, 2008

REMARKS

In response to the Final Office Action dated October 24, 2007, having a shortened

statutory period for response set to expire on January 24, 2008, Applicant respectfully requests

entry and consideration of the following amendments and remarks.

Claims 1, 3-14, and 20-22 are currently pending in the Application.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 13, 14, 21, and 22 are currently amended in this Response.

Claims 2, 15-19 have been cancelled.

Claims 9-11 have been previously amended.

I. Claim Rejections -- 35 USC § 112

The Office Action rejected claims 1-22 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has amended Claim 1 to more particularly point out and distinctly teach a

toughened material comprising a polycrystalline diamond material that is integrated with a

second material selected from the group consisting of: an iron, an iron alloy, a copper, a copper

alloy, a carbide, a ceramet, and combinations thereof. Support for this amendment exists in

Claims 17-19, as filed. The limitations of Claims 17-19 have been incorporated into Claim 1,

and Claims 17-19 have been cancelled.

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 7 of 12

Final Office Action Dated: October 24, 2007

Amendments & Response Dated: January 21, 2008

Claim 1 therefore describes a toughened material having two ingredients: a

polycrystalline diamond material, and a second material with which the polycrystalline diamond

material is integrated.

Claim 1 further describes that the second material comprises a substantially continuous

matrix in which granules of the diamond material are dispersed. The second material has a

degree of ductility greater than that of the granules of diamond material.

The term "material temperature" is used synonymously to mean "temperature of the

polycrystalline diamond material." The term "material temperature" has been selected to

differentiate the temperature of the polycrystalline diamond material from the temperature of the

chamber of the thermal control apparatus, dubbed the "chamber temperature."

During the formation of the toughened material, the temperature of the chamber of the

thermal control apparatus differs from the temperature of the polycrystalline diamond material.

The temperature of the chamber in relation to the temperature of the polycrystalline diamond

material is integral for controlling the rate of temperature change for the polycrystalline diamond

material, preventing over-stressing of the polycrystalline diamond material. For this reason, the

term "material temperature" must be used to differentiate the temperature of the polycrystalline

diamond material from the "chamber temperature" of the chamber of the thermal control

apparatus.

Claims 3-14 and 20-22 depend upon Independent Claim 1. Because Applicant believes

that Claim 1, as amended, properly points out and distinctly claims the subject matter which

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 8 of 12

Final Office Action Dated: October 24, 2007

Amendments & Response Dated: January 21, 2008

Applicant regards as the invention, Applicant believes that Claims 3-14 and 20-22 also properly

point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention

The Office Action rejected claims 1-22 under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement.

Applicant's Claim 1, as amended, teaches a toughened material comprising a

polycrystalline diamond material integrated with a second material that has been subjected to a

process comprising multiple cryogenic and thermal treatments.

The steps of the process are enumerated in Applicant's Claim 1, as amended, in Figure 1,

and in Paragraphs [00018] and [00022] through [00030] of Applicant's Specification, as filed.

A drawing and description of a useable thermal control apparatus is provided in Figure 2,

and in Paragraphs [00019] through [00021] of Applicant's Specification, as filed.

Applicant notes that the toughened material can be formed by subjecting numerous types

of polycrystalline diamond materials to the steps described in Claim 1, including pre-existing

items that include a diamond laminate with other materials, however Applicant has cancelled

Claims 15 and 16.

Π. Claim Rejection – 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC §103

The Office Action rejected claims 1 – 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated or, in

the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over *Lundin et al.* (5103701).

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 9 of 12

Final Office Action Dated: October 24, 2007

Amendments & Response Dated: January 21, 2008

Applicant teaches a toughened material comprising a polycrystalline diamond material

integrated with a second material. The second material comprises a substantially continuous

matrix in which granules of the polycrystalline diamond material are dispersed. The second

material has a degree of ductility greater than that of the granules of the polycrystalline diamond

material.

The polycrystalline diamond material is cooled to a first target temperature at a first

temperature rate, heated to a second target temperature at a second temperature rate, cooled to a

third target temperature at a third temperature rate and heated to a fourth temperature at a fourth

temperature rate to form the toughened material. (Applicant's Claim 1, as amended)

Lundin et al. describe an apparatus for machining metals that detrimentally react with

diamond cutting tools, in which the workpiece and diamond cutting tools are chilled to reduce

wear on the diamond cutting tools. (Lundin et al., Abstract)

Lundin et al. do not describe a toughened material formed by subjecting a polycrystalline

diamond material integrated with a second material to repeated cryogenic and thermal tempering

cycles.

Lundin et al. do not teach a second material having a substantially continuous matrix in

which granules of the polycrystalline diamond material are dispersed, nor do Lundin et al. teach

the second material having a degree of ductility greater than that of the granules of

polycrystalline diamond material.

Lundin et al. teach only chilling a diamond tipped cutting tool and do not describe the

components of the tool or improved structural characteristics resulting from the chilling, only

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 10 of 12

Final Office Action Dated: October 24, 2007

Amendments & Response Dated: January 21, 2008

reduced wear due to the inhibition of reactions that occur between the tool and workpiece at the

cooler temperature.

Applicant's use of cryogenic and heated tempering cycles provides a toughened material

with improved structural characteristics. (Applicant's Specification, as filed, Paragraph [00028])

Further, Applicant's use of controlled rates at which the polycrystalline diamond material is

heated and cooled prevents stressing or fracturing of the diamond material, which can be caused

by less controlled temperature changes. (Applicant's Specification, as filed, Paragraphs [0004]

and [00022])

Lundin et al. do not teach specific target temperatures to which the diamond tipped

cutting tool or the workpiece are to be cooled. Lundin et al. fails to teach rates of temperature

change at which the items are to be cooled. Lundin et al. teach use of a tool and workpiece under

refrigerated conditions to prevent wear on the cutting tool, rather than to provide improved

structural characteristics to the tool. Applicant's target temperatures and temperature rates of

change are selected to prevent stressing or fracturing of the polycrystalline diamond material

during repeated cryogenic and heating cycles, resulting in the formation of a toughened material

with improved structural characteristics.

Further, Lundin et al. do not teach performing any type of heated tempering cycles on the

diamond tipped tools or the workpieces. Lundin et al. instead solely teach cooling diamond

cutting tools and workpieces, during use, for the purpose of reducing wear.

Applicant teaches treating a polycrystalline diamond material by cooling the

polycrystalline diamond material to a first target temperature at a first temperature rate, which

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 11 of 12

Final Office Action Dated: October 24, 2007 Amendments & Response Dated: January 21, 2008

avoids overstressing or fracturing the polycrystalline diamond material. (Applicant's

Specification, as filed, Paragraph [00022]). The polycrystalline diamond material is then heated

to a second target temperature at a second temperature rate, cooled to a third target temperature

at a third temperature rate, and heated to a fourth target temperature at a fourth temperature rate.

(Applicant's Claim 1, as amended).

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's time and attention to this matter. Applicant

believes no new matter has been added with any amendments that have been made. Applicant

believes claims as now provided overcome all noted rejections. Reconsideration of this

application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Wordy Bush

Date: <u>January</u> 21, 2008

Wendy Buskop Patent Attorney

Reg. No. 32,202

Please mail correspondence to:

The address associated with **CUSTOMER NO. 29637**

BUSKOP LAW GROUP, PC **4511 DACOMA STREET HOUSTON, TEXAS 77092** (TEL)713-275-3400 (FAX)713-275-3419

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933